

in formula 57 below. The sum is then added to the input vector S to form $S_{updated}$ (step 310) as shown in formula 58 below.

$$t_{100}=w_1+w_3 \quad \text{formula \#57}$$

$$w_1+w_3=[0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0] \quad \text{formula \#58}$$

$$S_{updated}=\{w_0, w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4, t_{100}\} \quad \text{formula \#59}$$

[0044] As shown in formulas 42-47, formulas w_1 , w_3 and w_4 all include the term w_1+w_3 , which can now be replaced by t_{100} reducing the number of additions required to determine w_1 , w_3 and w_4 by one, and thus also reducing corresponding distance vector D to form an updated distance vector D updated (step 312) as shown in formula 60 below:

$$D_{updated}=[2 \ 1 \ 3 \ 1 \ 1 \ 2] \quad \text{formula \#60}$$

[0045] Steps 308-312 may then be repeated until the distance vector D is minimized, if possible to include all zeros, as shown by formulas 61-87 below.

$$t_{101}=w_0+t_{100} \quad \text{formula \#61}$$

$$w_0+t_{100}=[1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0] \quad \text{formula \#62}$$

$$[1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0]=z_4 \quad \text{formula \#63}$$

$$D_{updated}=[2 \ 1 \ 3 \ 1 \ 0 \ 2] \quad \text{formula \#64}$$

[0046] At this point we have found signal z_4 , so the sums of formulas 57 and 61 are saved in a straight line program.

$$t_{102}=w_2+t_{100} \quad \text{formula \#65}$$

$$w_2+t_{100}=[0 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0] \quad \text{formula \#66}$$

$$[0 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0]=z_3 \quad \text{formula \#67}$$

$$D_{updated}=[2 \ 1 \ 3 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1] \quad \text{formula \#68}$$

[0047] At this point we have found z_3 , so formula 65 is added to the straight line program.

$$t_{103}=w_4+t_{100} \quad \text{formula \#69}$$

$$w_4+t_{100}=[0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 1] \quad \text{formula \#70}$$

$$[0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 1]=z_1 \quad \text{formula \#71}$$

$$D_{updated}=[2 \ 0 \ 3 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1] \quad \text{formula \#72}$$

[0048] At this point we have found z_1 , so formula 69 is added to the straight line program.

$$t_{104}=w_2+t_{103} \quad \text{formula \#73}$$

$$w_2+t_{103}=[0 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1] \quad \text{formula \#74}$$

$$[0 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1]=z_5 \quad \text{formula \#75}$$

$$D_{updated}=[2 \ 0 \ 2 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0] \quad \text{formula \#76}$$

[0049] At this point we have found z_5 , so formula 73 is added to the straight line program.

$$t_{105}=w_0+w_1 \quad \text{formula \#77}$$

$$w_0+w_1=[1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0] \quad \text{formula \#78}$$

$$D_{updated}=[1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0] \quad \text{formula \#79}$$

$$t_{106}=w_2+t_{105} \quad \text{formula \#80}$$

$$w_2+t_{105}=[1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1] \quad \text{formula \#81}$$

$$[1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0]=z_0 \quad \text{formula \#82}$$

$$D_{updated}=[0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0] \quad \text{formula \#83}$$

[0050] At this point we have found z_0 , so formulas 77 and 80 are added to the straight line program.

$$t_{107}=t_{103}+t_{106} \quad \text{formula \#84}$$

$$t_{103}+t_{106}=[1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1] \quad \text{formula \#85}$$

$$[1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1]=z_2 \quad \text{formula \#86}$$

$$D_{updated}=[0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0] \quad \text{formula \#87}$$

[0051] At this point we have found z_2 , so formula 84 is added to the straight line program. Also, since the distance vector $D_{updated}$ now includes only zeros we are finished. Notice that this last operation added [01111] and [11000], to obtain [10111], so there was a cancellation in the second entry, adding two ones to get a zero. This possibility makes this technique different from prior techniques. For example, under the PAAR algorithm, no cancellation of elements is allowed.

[0052] Combined together, here is the straight line program for computing z_0 - z_5 , which only requires 8 XOR operations, instead of the 14 XOR operations required if z_0 - z_5 are calculated separately.

$$t_{100}=w_1+w_3 \quad \text{formula \#57}$$

$$t_{101}=w_0+t_{100} \quad \text{formula \#61}$$

$$t_{102}=w_2+t_{100} \quad \text{formula \#65}$$

$$t_{103}=w_4+t_{100} \quad \text{formula \#69}$$

$$t_{104}=w_2+t_{103} \quad \text{formula \#73}$$

$$t_{105}=w_0+w_1 \quad \text{formula \#77}$$

$$t_{106}=w_2+t_{105} \quad \text{formula \#80}$$

$$t_{107}=t_{103}+t_{106} \quad \text{formula \#84}$$

[0053] In one example, if during step 308 there is a tie between multiple pairs of basis vectors (i.e. the sum of two sets of basis vectors achieves a reduction in D of the same magnitude), then the tie may be resolved by using one of a plurality of tie-breaking techniques that utilize a Euclidean norm of the updated distance vector. The Euclidean norm is calculated by calculating a square root of a sum of squares of elements of the updated distance vector.

[0054] In a first tie-breaking technique, a pair of basis vectors is selected whose sum induces the largest Euclidean norm in the new distance vector. For example, if a sum of a first pair of basis vectors resulted in a distance vector of [0 0 3 1 1]

(which has a Euclidean norm of $\sqrt{0^2+0^2+3^2+1^2}=3.16$) and a sum of a second pair of basis vectors resulted in a distance vector of [1 1 1 1 1] (which has a Euclidean norm of $\sqrt{1^2+1^2+1^2+1^2}=2.00$) the first pair would be chosen because it induces a higher Euclidean norm. Of course, the step of actually calculating the square root could be omitted, as 3.16^2 would still be greater than 2.00^2 .

[0055] In a second tie-breaking technique, a pair of basis vectors is selected who has the greatest value of a square of the Euclidean norm minus the largest element in the distance vectors.